Gender-disordered children: does inpatient treatment help?

Robert J. Kosky

ABSTRACT Treatment guide-lines for gender-disturbed children currently are unclear. This clinical report describes eight children with cross-gender behaviour who were treated in an inpatient unit for children. The short-term outcome and long-term clinical observations are provided, which indicate a generally good outcome. The findings may have both practical and theoretical significance because they suggest that some gender disorders may be determined by intrafamilial interactions which are correctable.

(Med J Aust 1987; 146: 565-569) Outcome studies of children who have markedly inappropriate gender behaviour, or who reject their biological sex, indicate that many develop unhappily, with poor interpersonal relationships, homosexuality, transvestism and transsexuality. Clinical descriptions reveal that the children themselves are unhappy and lonely. While such knowledge provides compelling reasons for early therapeutic intervention, guide-lines for the treatment of gender-disordered children are still unclear. Green described an eclectic approach that involved outpatient counselling of the child and parents, resocialization and behavioural modification. Good results have been claimed for group therapy that is associated with behavioural modification. Rekers et al. have reported a series of cases that were treated by behavioural modification.

Clinical records

Between 1975 and 1980, eight primary school-aged children with cross-gender behaviours were referred to the children's psychiatric day hospital. The children were examined by an experienced child psychiatrist; some were examined by me personally. The clinical features that are reported here were taken from psychiatric interviews and the clinical and nursing notes. The salient points are summarized in the Table.

By the term cross-gender behaviour, I refer to the attitudes, affectations, manneums, or interests that were exhibited by the children as manifestation of the opposite sex. These behaviours included persistently dressing in clothes that were identified as those of the opposite sex and walking, talking, or playing in ways that were commonly associated with the opposite sex. The cross-gender behaviours did not usually correspond to the child's age but involved behaviours that were generally associated with adults of the opposite sex. For example, a nine-year-old boy became high-heeled shoe, stockings, jewelry and make-up. The cross-gender behaviours sometimes centred on a few activities, such as sitting in front of a mirror and applying make-up for hours on end. Such claustraphobic occupations precluded the wide-ranging activities and interests that are characteristic of other children.

The children denied their biological sex to a greater or lesser degree. The one female patient vehemently denied her sex and expressed disgust at the genital evidence of it. Others were less marked, but all said they wanted to be of the opposite sex and did not like their genitals. One boy sat to urinate. Other authors have provided similar descriptions of cross-gender behaviour.

The classification of gender identity disorders of childhood in the Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (3rd edition) encompass these features.

The happiness of these children was noted in the initial psychiatric interviews. Some were noted to have suicidal ideas. Each expressed sadness and loneliness. All were of average or above average intelligence and had no physical abnormalities. There was no evidence of psychosis.

The parents were unhappy; especially their partner the opposite sex who seemed tied to the home, lonely, and with few social outlets. This parent, usually described a close emotional bond between themselves and the child. The parent of the same sex was either absent from the home, away for long periods, or worked excessively long hours. Frequently, the parent appeared to be the dominating influence in the household.

In general, cross-dressing began when the child was very young, usually around two years of age. The parents, and the opposite sex may have initiated this as a joke ("Doesn't he look lovely?") and, with delight, found that, when the child was dressed in clothes of the opposite sex, play together was fun. The child later cross-dressed on his or her own. This parent and the child undertook few activities outside the home. Most of the children had not attended kindergarten and school reform was accentuated. When at school, the child's cross-gender behaviour became very noticeable and was remarked upon negatively by teachers and other children. The parents became aware that their child had failed to develop as far as social skills and was friendless and unhappy.

Psychological assessments, including the "Draw a Person" Test and the I-Test for Children confirmed the clinical findings that these children denied or rejected their biological sex and identified with the opposite sex.

Case 1

David (a pseudonym), who was aged six years (IQ = 123), refused to go to school unless he was dressed in female clothes. When he arrived at school, after his mother had agreed to his demand, he was scowled at by the other children. He was friendless, lonely and unhappy, except when playing "dress-up" at home with his mother. His father was a truck driver and absent from home for long periods; his mother was a shy, introverted woman who had few acquaintances.

David's brother and sister were much older. David's mother had never been very successful. Of 138 treated cases which were culled from the literature by Zucker, 97 cases had some postpubertal outcome data available. Of these, only 25% developed as heterosexual. Forty per cent of patients were homosexual and 6% were transvestic or transsexual, with the rest left in the "uncertain outcome" category.

In spite of consistent reports of grossly disordered family relationships and poor social skills among such children, there have been no reports on the use of therapy as an inpatient treatment. This report describes such an approach and provides
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### TABLE: Clinical features and progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Cross-gender behaviours</th>
<th>Other problems</th>
<th>Treatment length (weeks)</th>
<th>Follow-up at one year</th>
<th>Age last seen (years)</th>
<th>Postpubertal status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7M</td>
<td>Expresses desire to be a girl. Cross-dresses. Plays only with girls</td>
<td>Unhappy. School failure. Friendless</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No cross-gender behaviour. Mixes with both sexes. Parents undergoing sex therapy</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&quot;Quite well&quot; but &quot;ups and downs&quot; in mood. Relates to both sexes. Erotic desires unknown. Parents' marriage &quot;great&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10M</td>
<td>Wears female under-clothes. Wants to be a girl</td>
<td>Unhappy. Friendless. Lacks initiative</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Happy, making friends. Involved in sport. No cross-gender behaviour. Some antisocial traits</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>No further contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12M</td>
<td>Cross-dresses. Identifies with women. 1 homosexual</td>
<td>Depressed and suicidal. Friendless. Nightmares</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>No cross-dressing. Still effeminate but good relationships with children of both sexes. Signs of heterosexual erotic desires</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was considered that the mother was projecting her own feelings into her description of her daughter's behaviour.

Reginald's mother was a lonely woman. Her husband spent much time at work or "out with the boys", and although he was superficially charming, he seemed an insecure man much dominated by his own mother. Both he and his wife loved one another, but both were immature and frightened of the opposite sex (later they benefited from sex therapy).

**Case 3**

Kevin (a pseudonym) was an eight-year-old boy (IQ = 120) who had insisted on wearing women's clothes since he was about two years old. There were grave problems after his birth because his mother developed a postpartum psychosis. Kevin suffered some bizarre and hurtful punishments at her hands during this period. On one occasion she hit through the flesh around his thumb. After 18 months, when she recovered, his mother was concerned about Kevin and felt guilty. During this time, when he dressed as a girl, she could play happily with him. His father was never involved, left the family when Kevin was aged four years, and had rarely liked to see his son. After a long financial battle, Kevin's mother had finally achieved some stability. However, Kevin's behaviour had become a social embarrassment to her at school and at home. She said "every time there's a man around, he [Kevin] wiggles his bum".

Kevin was a withdrawn, unhappy child, aggressive to his sister, friendless, who performed poorly at school, and cross-dressed whenever he could. **Case 4**

Dorothy (a pseudonym) was 10 years old (IQ, superior range) when she was referred because of crying, unhappiness, complaints of aches and pains with no organic cause, and school refusal. She refused to wear her school dress uniform and dressed only as a boy. At school she ignored girls and would, unsuccessfully, attempt to mix with boys. Although very bright, she avoided female teachers and refused to learn from them, thereby creating educational difficulties. She had a strong objection to being female and an overt desire to be a boy.

Her parents had separated two years earlier. Her older brother and sister lived with her father, while Dorothy lived with her mother. Her mother had objected to Dorothy going to live with her father because of his "influence". He had always wanted Dorothy to be a boy, had treated her as one and called her "Rocky". Dorothy's father made few efforts for his family, except for Dorothy. Her father had first bought male clothes for Dorothy when she was very young and usually gave her male attire or masculine games for birthday presents.

Dorothy's father accompanied her to the hospital. Dorothy was dressed in football clothes, including spiked football boots, and was carrying a football that her father had given her recently.

**Cases 5-8**

Patients 5 (IQ = 107), 6 (IQ = 108), 7 (IQ = 120) and 8 (IQ = 112) were four boys, aged 10 to 12 years. Their clinical features are summarized in the Table. Each cross-dressed and each was unhappy, the eldest child being quite suicidal at the time of his admission to hospital. Each was dominated by their mother and received little or no support from their father. In Cases 6, 7 and 8, the mothers had actively encouraged the children in interests in female clothes, jewellery and fashion. None of these children had friends, and all were failing to progress academically at school.

From these histories it becomes apparent that the cross-gender behaviour was not the only, or indeed the central, problem for most of the children. Unhappiness, anxiety, social withdrawal, aggressiveness and failure to learn adequately at school were features that were present in most. As were the cross-gender behaviours, these features appeared to be secondary to the pathological parent-child relationships.
The other children in the unit had a range of disorders including neurotic illnesses (depression, anxiety, conversion reactions, compulsions, and so on), psychoorganic problems (connexia nervosa, psychosis, and senility), and reactions to chronic illnesses. None was delinquent or intellectually handicapped. Most were well enough to attend the local primary school each day and they joined in many play activities together.

At the beginning of the year, all children were encouraged to join in games with other children. A wide range of activities was provided at the hospital and the child chose what interested him or her. No conscious attempt was made to involve the staff members to encourage masculine or feminine role behaviours. The only prohibition that was placed on boys who cross-dressed was that they must respect the privacy of others and, therefore, not steal girls' underwear.

Appropriate behaviours were encouraged by the nursing staff members to replace the stereotypically inappropriate and isolating cross-gender behaviours. Children were encouraged to leave their rooms and join in play. Confidence and self-esteem were enhanced by the mastery of the new situations that arise continuously in the unit, by the actual achievement of set tasks or informal activities such as tennis, swings or frisbees, and by developing friendships with other children.

Parents, with other family members, visited regularly, and during these times they were all encouraged to join in activities and play with the children. The children went home for some of the weekend, sleeping at home for one night. Parents saw either a psychiatrist or a social worker once a week, as well as the nursing staff members who were assigned to them for their visits. In order to improve their relationships, they were given counselling about how to respond to their child and how to improve their own social life.

Results

Short-term outcome

Cross-dressing ceased very quickly after admission to hospital. Many of the other cross-gender behaviours, which had been present for years, vanished after several weeks. Such dramatic changes in the children's behaviour produced anxiety for all the parents. The mother of Patient 6 had panic attacks. As a result of this, she saw a cross-dressing psychiatrist, who prescribed the child's membership to the hospital, and settled down. When he again ceased cross-dressing, she began sabotaging the treatment by bringing in female clothes for him and isolating herself with him in his room. In spite of our efforts she removed her son from the hospital. We have had no further contact with this child. The other seven children remained as inpatients for between 10 and 23 weeks (average, 18 weeks).

In general, nursing staff members and the doctors reported improvements in the general mood of the child after admission to hospital, although episodes of minor and anger were noted by staff members for several weeks. School achievements and social behaviour improved steadily during the period of inpatient treatment, and, by the time of discharge from hospital the children were functioning socially and educationally at approximately age-appropriate levels.

The parents showed variable degrees of willingness to change. Where change in the child was rapidly and consolidated early, we found that the father made a special effort to get involved with the child and the family. For example, by charging his working hours so that he could be with the family more often. Most parents were surprised when they enjoyed their time with the child during their visits. Although initially reluctant, they joined in activities and games when encouraged and guided by the staff members, who were required to demonstrate to the family how to play together. Once the parents began to enjoy being with their child, their motivation towards change accelerated. Sometimes a grandparent tried to induce the child to return to cross-dressing. Two grandparents brought in female clothes for their grandchildren. These acts caused confrontations between grandparents and parents, from which the former retreated.

Outcome at one year

The seven children who completed the treatment programme were seen regularly by their psychiatrist in the outpatient clinic for follow-up. One year after discharge from hospital, all the children were recorded as mixed-gender and socially well with other children and clinically observed to be happy. School reports were generally good. The children appeared to have maintained the age-appropriate social skills that had been achieved during their inpatient stay and had continued to mature appropriately. Their self-confidence and sense of mastery, which had been developed while at the hospital, had been maintained in spite of the usual vicissitudes of school and social life.

In one case (Case 1) recurrence of cross-gender behaviour occurred. This child began cross-dressing several months after his discharge from hospital. His father had returned to working excessively long hours and was again absent from home for long periods. The child was readmitted to hospital for two weeks. His father indicated that he was not coping with the marriage. Family discussions followed, changes were made, and the cross-dressing ceased.

Postpubertal status

At the time of the preparation of this report (December 1988), the seven children who completed the therapeutic programme successfully were then aged as follows: one child was 21 years old; two children were 19 years old; one child was 18 years old; one child was 16 years old; one child was 15 years old; and one child was 13 years old. The mean time that had elapsed since admission to hospital was eight years (range, six to 11 years). Contact had been maintained with all the families by the psychiatrist or through the hospital. One family had moved interstate and recent contact had been by telephone. Information was obtained at unstructured interviews with the child and
the parents, and from the examination of school reports.

One young man referred himself when he was 17 years old because he was "mixed-up" about his sexuality. He had been actively homosexual during the age of 14 years. However, he did not believe that he was truly homosexual, but explained that he believed he had been "programmed into homosexuality by his mother". He wanted to explore his confusion and fears about women before trying to relate sexually to them. He already had a highly successful career in women's fashion. By the age of 19 years he considered himself to be heterosexual, but had not yet had a sexual relationship with a woman.

None of the other children, now adolescents, expressed homosexual feelings, was transvestite, or transsexual. All had performed reasonably well at school and had reasonable relationships with children of both sexes. All had maintained a sense of well-being. The mother of Patient 8 reported that her son had crossed-dressed for a "few weeks" at the onset of his puberty. Some subtle pathological influences persisted. One boy (Case 1), aged 15 years, told us that his mother had recently asked him to buy tickets for them to attend a transvestite night club show, a request, he said, which made him feel "very apprehensive".

Discussion

This report can be criticized on a number of levels. The sample is small, and selected by referral to the government service. Because the referrals occurred over a five-year period, and because the follow-up time extends to 11 years, the report provides retrospective descriptions and is not the product of experimental design. Such criticisms could equally be applied to the previous studies of treatment and outcome that have been reported in the literature and are summarized by Zucker.6

With this qualification, the approach that is described in this report appeared successful in allowing most of the children to overcome their initial unhappiness and to mature in a satisfying manner as human beings at ease with themselves and their sexuality. The treatment brought about an immediate improvement in "self-esteem and social functioning, and these aspects appear to have been sustained over many years. Of course, in the later semi-structured follow-up interviews, the patients may have been overwilling to oblige their doctors by emphasizing their well-being, or may have been hesitant to communicate desires which they thought might be treated as abnormal. The erotic desires of the seven children who completed the programme, when "grown up", were not known in four cases, two boys (Cases 1 and 8) were heterosexual, and one boy (Case 7) was "mixed". However, all the children were reasonably good at social levels of functioning.

The type of disorders in the family interactions that are reported here, especially the symbiotic relationship with the parent of the opposite sex, are consistent with those that are remembered by gender-disordered adults.6,26 While such consistency emphasizes the importance of intrapsychic learning in the development of gender identity, caution is needed in the extrapolation of clinical findings from small samples of gender-disordered children in an attempt to understand normal psychosexual development. It was surprising how quickly the cross-gender behaviors of the children in our sample ceased once they were away from the home environment. Thereafter, age and sex-appropriate gender behaviors were exhibited by the children. Such a sudden transition suggests that gender behaviors are environmentally developed to a degree that has not been recognized previously. Alternatively, the processes that influence the development of aberrant gender behavior may not be the same as those that underlie normal psychosexual development. The descriptions of disordered family relationships may illuminate the etiology of the disordered behaviors, but normal psychosexual development may, for instance, be more influenced by biological factors.

Such distinctions may be important, since others have emphasized the contribution of biological or constitutional factors to the development of gender disorders.22,23 For example, on the basis of a comparative study between parents of effeminate and non-effeminate boys, which failed to show distinctions between their attitudes and relationships, Zucker concluded that "the effeminate behavior was inherent in the boys themselves".24 This conclusion seems contrary to my findings.

An overemphasis on a biological model of gender disorder may also lead to therapeutic pessimism. Some of the parents of the subjects of this report had been advised by other professional persons that there was "no hope", or that their child would grow up "transsexual" or "homosexual", or, in one instance, that the child "would have to go to New York to have a sex-change operation". Such attitudes, which emphasize cross-gender behaviour as the central or only problem, which are rigidly deterministic, serve only to deny the child's unhappiness and the desire of the parents to change. Advice that is based on such attitudes fails to provide options to make the lives of the parents and the children more enjoyable. Inpatient treatment is one such option.

Conclusions

The treatment of cross-gender behavior by means of inpatient therapy seems effective. Long-term clinical evaluations suggest that initial changes can be maintained. These results may correct some previous pessimistic views about outcome. However, evidence about the systematic and objective outcome that would enable one to be more positive about the results is lacking, and the sample is a small one. Further evaluation of therapeutic methods in this area with untreated control families is needed. Such studies will need to be performed in major treatment centres, since the number of children who meet the diagnostic criteria is relatively few.

Nevertheless, the emphasis on the familial and social context of the disorders that is provided in this report should counteract undue emphasis on the aberrant behaviors themselves. The cross-gender behaviors seem to be relatively superficial manifestations of disorder. Effeminate behavior present in an inadequate repertoire of social skills on the part of both parents and child. Focus on the underlying mechanisms may reveal a great deal about these families, but may not necessarily illuminate the processes of normal psychosexual development.
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